Loss of endangered frugivores from seed dispersal networks generates severe mutualism disruption
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Many tropical seed-dispersing frugivores are facing extinction, but the consequences of the loss of endangered frugivores for seed dispersal is not well understood. We investigated the role of frugivore endangerment status via robustness-to-coextinction simulations (in this context, more accurately described as robustness-to-partner-loss simulations) using data from the Brazilian Atlantic Forest biodiversity hotspot. By simulating the extinction of endangered frugivores, we found a rapid and disproportionate loss of tree species with dispersal partners in the network, and this surprisingly surpassed any other frugivore extinction scenario, including the loss of the most generalist frugivores first. A key driver of this pattern is that many specialist plants rely on at-risk frugivores as seed-dispersal partners. Moreover, interaction compensation in the absence of endangered frugivores may be unlikely because frugivores with growing populations forage on fewer plant species than frugivores with declining populations. Therefore, protecting endangered frugivores could be critical for maintaining tropical forest seed dispersal, and their loss may have higher-than-expected functional consequences for tropical forests, their regeneration processes, and the maintenance of tropical plant diversity.

1. Introduction

The growing number of vertebrate species at risk of extinction threatens biodiversity and ecosystem health by compromising key interactions between species—such as seed dispersal by fruit-eating animals—that are critical for the functioning of natural ecosystems [1–5]. Tropical forest ecosystems are among the most vulnerable to negative effects following vertebrate species losses, particularly because the majority of tropical plant species rely on frugivores for animal-mediated seed dispersal [6,7]. Seed dispersal by frugivores is critical for forest regeneration. Gut passage often benefits seeds and speeds germination for many species [8,9], in addition to facilitating seed deposition into favourable microhabitats [10]. Dispersal of seeds is also considered a key aspect of maintaining plant diversity in tropical forests as it allows seeds and seedlings to escape density-dependent mortality concentrated under parent plants [11,12] and plays an important role in maintaining genetic diversity and gene flow across the landscape [13–15]. Interactions between frugivores and the fruiting species they consume create complex mutualistic networks that structure and maintain the diversity and regeneration processes of tropical forest plant communities [16,17]. Understanding how these networks disassemble following the loss of species currently most in danger of extinction is key to understanding how tropical forests will be affected by anthropogenic environmental change.

In mutualistic networks, simulations of robustness-to-partner-loss (often called ‘robustness-to-coextinction’ simulations because partner losses are often predicted to cause eventual coextinctions) are used to analyse the effects of a single extinction on another species’ secondary removal from the network.
We expected this because large-bodied vertebrates tend to be deleterious for network robustness to seed-dispersal mutualisms. The endangerment status and body size would be more affected by (or even benefitting from) current global change patterns. Additionally, body size is positively related to gape width and consequently diet breadth [24,29], and because many large-bodied animals are threatened with extinction [7,23,30], the majority of studies investigating the consequences of frugivore losses for seed-dispersal networks have focused on predictions that follow simulated extinctions of only large-bodied, avian frugivores [24,29,31]. However, body size is not always predictive of network centrality [24,32–34] and recent work has drawn attention to disproportionate focus being placed on body size as an indicator of network role [35,36]. A key knowledge gap is understanding the threat posed to seed-dispersal networks of the removal of the frugivore species most likely to be lost: those currently at risk of extinction, regardless of taxonomic group. This is underscored by existing work on avian seed-dispersal networks that suggests that endangered frugivores can be particularly highly connected species and thus may have a disproportionately large impact on network robustness [33]. As the loss of endangered frugivores reflects the most immediate realistic scenario of disperser loss that tropical forests are faced with, assessing the effects of their loss on seed-dispersal networks is an urgent conservation concern.

Here we explore how current trends in frugivore species losses will affect the structure of a neotropical plant–frugivore network in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest, one of the most biodiverse and also most threatened ecosystems in the world [37]. We used the most complete seed dispersal interaction dataset available for any tropical ecosystem [38], a record of 8320 interactions between plant and frugivore species from multiple taxonomic groups. To understand the role of endangered frugivore species in this species-rich network and to better anticipate consequences of their losses for ecosystem functioning, we simulated frugivore losses as predicted by endangerment status and analysed the effects of removals on network robustness to secondary plant species’ losses of the function of their seed dispersal mutualism following frugivore partner extinctions. We then evaluated if the effects of removing species according to extinction risk differ from scenarios predicted by the sequential top-to-bottom removal of: (1) frugivores with the highest body masses, as is frequently evaluated in studies assessing seed-dispersal network robustness (e.g. [23]); (2) the most generalist frugivores (i.e. those frugivores that interact with the highest number of plant species), which has traditionally been used as a ‘worst-case scenario’ of species losses in mutualistic networks (e.g. [19]); and (3) a random removal scenario, which represents a null-model approach. To investigate the potential for interaction compensation by frugivores less affected by (or even benefitting from) current global change patterns, we also analysed how population growth trends, in addition to endangerment status, relate to species’ centrality within the network.

We hypothesized that scenarios of species removals both by endangerment status and by body size would be more deleterious for network robustness to seed-dispersal mutualism loss relative to a randomized species removal sequence. We expected this because large-bodied vertebrates tend to have wider diet breadths and often a higher vulnerability to anthropogenic environmental change. At the same time, we expected that the worst-case scenario would be removing the most-connected frugivores first. We also hypothesized that endangerment status may be a positive predictor of a species’ network centrality—measured here as network degree—because extinction risk is, in part, a function of species’ traits (such as body mass) that may also relate to determinants of a species’ network centrality, such as diet breadth. The results of these simulations can benefit conservation efforts by improving our understanding of the functional roles of endangered species [20].

2. Methods

(a) Dataset

We used the largest and most comprehensive dataset available of frugivory interactions (pairwise foraging events between animal species and plant species) to construct our binary network. Data were collated by Bello et al. from 166 published and unpublished sources [38]. The dataset spans 55 years of frugivore foraging observations in the Brazilian Atlantic Forest, a rapidly shrinking biodiversity hotspot of critical conservation concern [39–41]. A total of 8320 interactions are described in the dataset between 331 vertebrate species (232 birds, 90 mammals, 5 fish, 1 amphibian and 3 reptiles) and 788 plant species.

We used IUCN classifications [42] as markers of species endangerment. Species in the network dataset that were either data-deficient or unevaluated were omitted from all analyses (n = 22 omitted species: 1 unguulate, 4 bat, 5 fish, 1 rodent, 1 reptile and 10 bird species; see electronic supplementary material, table S1 for list of omitted frugivores).

(b) Geographical scales

We ran all analyses described below both at the biome scale (for the entire Atlantic Forest) and also at the ecoregion scale, using ecoregions defined by the Brazilian Ministry of the Environment and Instituto Brasileiro de Geografia e Estatística [43]. We limited our ecoregion analyses to the three regions with the largest number of frugivory observations within the Atlantic Forest biome (and therefore the most completely characterized networks): (1) cerrado savannah/forest mosaic (377 observations between 55 plant and 91 frugivore species); (2) mata caducifolia (566 observations between 129 plant and 84 frugivore species); and (3) Serra do Mar (1741 independent frugivory observations between 246 plant and 173 frugivore species). Other ecoregions had fewer than 155 observations and are not included in our ecoregion-scale analysis to avoid using relatively small sample sizes. The cerrado ecoregion is the driest of the four and hosts a mix of multiple vegetation forms along a continuum of dry savannas, grassland to dense deciduous and semi-deciduous woodlands [44]. The mata caducifolia is a seasonal deciduous tropical forest in which many trees lose their leaves in the dry season [45]. The Serra do Mar ecoregion has a moist subtropical climate and hosts tropical montane forests stretching along the Serra do Mar mountain range along Brazil’s southern coast [37,46].

(c) Simulations of frugivore extinctions and secondary plant losses from the network

We used deterministic topological coextinction models [18,19] to assess robustness to species losses from the network following the extinctions of their seed-dispersing partners. We sequentially removed frugivores from the network and assessed secondary consequences for plants by quantifying species left without...
network partners. We use the term ‘secondary plant losses’ to mean plant species that become disconnected from the network following the loss of all of their seed-dispersing partners. Once this occurs, a plant has essentially lost access to the ecosystem function of its seed dispersal mutualism, changing what will guide its dispersal and regeneration processes in the future. This approach has been used to draw predictions regarding the persistence of plant populations once they have lost their dispersers, a scenario generally presumed to induce stronger negative density-dependent mortality and decreased regeneration success [47], with the most extreme prediction being future extinction. However, coextinction of plant species following the loss of their seed dispersal mutualists has never been documented. Rather, the deterministic topological coextinction model approach is more realistically interpreted in this context as a metric for measuring the functional consequences of seed disperser extinction on the plant community. We interpret our results from the perspective that losing frugivores constitutes the elimination of a powerful mutualism with a broad set of functional consequences that occur well before the extreme endpoint of plant species’ coextinctions. To assess the relative effects of future frugivore extinction scenarios, we simulated losing frugivore species in the order of: (1) their risk level to extinction in the near future; (2) decreasing body mass (a simulation of ecological downsizing, which is broadly observed in tropical forests [7]); (3) most to least connected within the network (degree, often considered a worst-case scenario [19]); and (4) random species loss as a null model scenario [18,19,23]. We ran 1000 iterations of removals of random species and used bootstrapping to obtain a mean estimate and 95% confidence intervals. We repeated this process for the scenario of losing the most endangered frugivores species from the network first, with random resampling within each endangerment category (e.g. multiple species belong to the IUCN Critically Endangered category, so the simulations sequentially removed randomly selected Critically Endangered species until all of the species from that category had been removed, then repeated that process in the next most endangered IUCN category (Endangered), and so on. We divided the Least Concern IUCN category into four groups, which we removed in the order: those with (1) declining population numbers; (2) stable population numbers; (3) increasing population numbers; and (4) those with unknown population trends (29 species across the whole biome).

(d) Relationships between endangered frugivores and specialist trees
To gain a better understanding of mechanisms shaping relationships in the network between endangered frugivores and secondary plant losses of their seed dispersers in the network, we investigated if the most specialized trees (those visited only by one frugivore) are more likely to be visited by an endangered frugivore than chance alone would predict. To do this, we conducted a permutation test by building a null distribution of expected values for the proportion of endangered frugivores visiting specialist trees, if endangered and non-endangered frugivores visited trees irrespective of specialist status. Our null distribution was based on 9999 permutations of the proportion of overall observed interactions in the network that involved endangered frugivores and then compared the observed value (that is, the proportion of endangered frugivores that are visiting specialist trees) with that distribution to determine if the observed value surpassed the top 5% of expected values (i.e. \( p < 0.05 \)). This is a one-tailed test as we had the \textit{a priori} hypothesis that we are seeing a higher number of interactions of specialist plants with endangered frugivores than we would expect by chance.

(e) Relationships between frugivore extinction risk level and network centrality
We calculated the network degree for each species as the cumulative number of species in the other trophic level with which they interacted. In other words, the degree of a frugivore is the number of plant species whose fruit it consumes. Degree is a widely used centrality metric to reflect a species’ role in maintaining overall network connectivity [48]. We analysed relationships between frugivore degree and extinction risk level at the biome scale using generalized linear models with negative binomial errors. For our response variable (degree) to agree with model assumptions (specifically negative binomial errors), we subtracted 1 from all degree values in order for the transformed data’s distribution to include zeros. For all species for which interaction data existed (regardless of native or non-native status), we divided extinction risk level into two levels: ‘higher risk’ and ‘lower risk’ based on if the species was categorized as Least Concern (LC) by the IUCN (the ‘lower risk’ category); or alternatively at a risk level greater than LC (the ‘higher risk’ category). We ran a second negative binomial model using frugivore population growth trends rather than IUCN-based extinction-risk-level groupings. Population trends are typically updated prior to official IUCN endangerment status updates and can be an earlier indicator of species’ future trajectories.

We examined the relationships between frugivore diet breadth and endangerment level and population growth trend by comparing frugivore mean degrees. At the ecoregion scale, however, the number of frugivore species within many of the heightened extinction risk and population trend categories is low (e.g. the cerrado only has three frugivore species left that are in the ‘higher risk’ endangerment category) and thus we did not run models at this scale because of the very small sample sizes (see electronic supplementary material, table S2 for species counts per category).

3. Results

(a) Network robustness to secondary plant losses from the network following simulated frugivore extinction scenarios
At the biome scale, simulated extinction of endangered species first yields an initial and severe drop in the number of plant species with seed-disperser partners, and this outcome is worse than any other frugivore loss scenario in terms of secondary plant losses (figure 1a,b). While body mass and degree eventually surpass the severity of the endangered species loss scenario, this occurs only after the loss of all Critically Endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable frugivores, at which point approximately 28% of all plant species have already lost their dispersers in our simulations. This pattern is largely consistent at the ecoregion scale as well (figure 1c–h).

(b) Endangered frugivores and specialist tree associations
A total of 54.7% of the specialist plants (degree = 1) are only visited by endangered frugivores in the biome-scale network (table 1), despite endangered frugivores only making up approximately 14% of the frugivores and approximately 17% of the interactions in the network. Our
A permutation test was strongly statistically significant ($p = 0.0001$) and indicated that specialist plants are interacting much more with endangered frugivores than expected by chance. Similarly, specialist trees at the ecoregion scale were largely dependent on endangered frugivores for dispersal (table 1).
Frugivores with higher extinction risks trended toward feeding on a greater diversity of fruiting plant species (i.e. had greater degree values in the network on average) than frugivores currently at a lower risk for extinction (figure 2), though this relationship was not statistically significant at the biome scale ($p = 0.25$) and appears qualitatively consistent at the ecoregion scales (figure 2). We did not run models at ecoregion scale owing to small sample sizes.

Similarly, at the biome scale, frugivores with decreasing population sizes tended to forage on more plant species than those with increasing population trends ($p = 0.08$, figure 2b). This trend appears to be mirrored in most of the ecoregions (figure 2d).

### Table 1. Proportion of specialist plants (listed here by degree or number of frugivore species, from 1—plants reliant on only a single frugivore species—to 5 frugivores) that are exclusively dispersed by endangered frugivores (grouped as all frugivores categorized as at greater risk of extinction than the LC category).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ecoregion</th>
<th>degree (no. frugivore partners)</th>
<th>no. plant species</th>
<th>no. species dispersed solely by endangered frugivores</th>
<th>proportion plants dispersed solely by endangered frugivores</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic Forest biome</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serra do Mar</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>0.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cerrado</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mata caducifolia</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4. Discussion

Leveraging the largest and most taxonomically comprehensive seed dispersal network available to date for a tropical system, we show here that across taxonomic groups (birds, arboreal mammals, terrestrial mammals), endangered species play a disproportionately large role in maintaining network structure in the Atlantic Forest, one of the most critically threatened and biodiverse ecosystems on the planet [39–41]. We report three key and novel findings from our models simulated probable future frugivore extinctions that shed light on the ecological roles of endangered animals and the ecosystem-level consequences of their losses. First, we show that at the biome and ecoregion scales, seed dispersal networks in this system are predicted to experience a rapid and outsized loss of tree species from the network if frugivores that are currently in danger of extinction are lost. At the biome scale, these secondary plant losses exceed even those predicted to follow the loss of the most-connected frugivores first, which has traditionally been considered a mutualistic network’s worst-case scenario of loss. Second, this sharp pattern of secondary plant losses appears to occur because the frugivore species at a higher risk of extinction tend to interact with more tree species, particularly specialized tree species, than those at lower risk of extinction risk. Third, our results do not support the existence of functional redundancy between endangered dispersers and those currently increasing in abundance. Instead, we found that frugivore species with growing populations forage on significantly fewer plant species than frugivores with declining populations. When taken together, our results indicate that protecting endangered species will be critical for maintaining connectivity in tropical forest plant–frugivore networks and that the loss of endangered species may have higher-than-expected negative implications for tropical forest ecosystems by severely disrupting seed dispersal services and causing ecosystem function loss, potentially damaging the long-term reproductive success of animal-dispersed trees.

Surprisingly, in the Atlantic Forest network, we find that a sharp initial plummet in plant species driven by the loss of endangered frugivores surpasses secondary plant seed-dispersal mutualism losses predicted by the degree-based species removal scenario as well as the random-based and body mass-based removal scenarios. At the biome scale, we see over a quarter of plant species lost (approx. 28%)
Figure 2. Violin plots showing relationships between frugivore degree and (a) extinction risk level and (b) global population trends. Width of violin plots shows relative distribution of sample sizes. Bars show 95% confidence intervals (CI) around the means (shown as black circles), calculated from 1000 bootstrap iterations estimated from a negative binomial distribution using the function ‘bootstrapnb’ from the ‘degreenet’ package [49]. At the ecoregion scale, the degree of each frugivore species and group means (black circles) are plotted for each group of (c) frugivores at higher versus lower risk of extinction and (d) frugivores with decreasing versus increasing population trends. CIs are not included in (c) and (d) because the numbers of frugivore species within many of the extinction risk and population trend categories at the ecoregion scale are prohibitively low.

From the network following the removal of all endangered and vulnerable frugivores, which comprise a relatively small portion of frugivores in the network (approx. 14%). This trend is largely mirrored at the ecoregion scale (figure 1e–h) and contradicts earlier predictions that selective removal of species with the highest degree from mutualistic networks will result in the largest secondary plant species losses [18,19,23,50]. Instead, our approach reveals an unexpected worst-case scenario of what is in fact also the most likely and realistic scenario of losing frugivores currently at risk of extinction.

The probable mechanism driving the steep plant-loss curves that follow the simulated extinctions of frugivores at risk of extinction is the existence of a large portion of specialist trees in these networks that rely heavily on endangered frugivores. This is supported by our finding that frugivore partners of specialist trees are disproportionately species with a heightened extinction threat (as opposed to species of Least Concern). In fact, among highly specialized trees (those with just a single documented disperser species, n = 285 tree species) in this network, over half are only known to be dispersed by frugivores at risk of extinction. These findings run counter to the idea that endangered species tend to be more specialized than their abundant counterparts and that hyper-generalist species are also those most common in
a system [51]. Perhaps the wide-ranging nature of many endangered frugivores (e.g., primates, large birds) increases their likelihood of interacting with a larger number of specialist and/or rare plant species. Given that this is the most expansive characterization of the seed dispersal network in the tropics in existence, this is a surprising result with substantial conservation implications.

At the ecoregion scale, we also find sharp secondary plant losses following the simulated extinctions of endangered frugivores (figure 1c–h) and, as with the biome scale network, the underlying mechanism appears to be a disproportionate dependence of specialist trees on at-risk frugivores. In the ecoregion-level data, 33 to 59% of the most specialized trees (species with just one documented disperser) rely exclusively on frugivores with heightened extinction risks (table 1).

The non-random loss of functionally unique animal species sweeping across the tropics due to hunting, habitat loss and other anthropogenic activities has prompted questions about the potential for species unaffected or benefitted by defaunated conditions to ecologically compensate for species being lost [52–54]. There is no conclusive answer regarding the potential of the generally smaller-bodied, smaller-ranging species to fill the seed dispersal roles of the frugivores that systems are losing. Some existing studies indicate that exotic species and those robust to human disturbance (often rodents facilitating short-distance secondary dispersal) can compensate for the loss of traditionally dominant frugivores from networks, although dispersal distances and plant population connectivity are still diminished under such scenarios [55–58]. More often, studies have found low functional redundancy between frugivore species negatively affected versus those that thrive in response to anthropogenic disturbances, resulting in little to no effective compensation for the loss of seed dispersal services [54,59–63]. Here, we add to our understanding of this topic by examining relationships between species’ network degrees—frugivore diet breadths—and their endangerment or population growth status. Our results indicate a trend in which species that are increasing in abundance have smaller diet breadths and as such are not able to fill the network roles of species that are declining, which appear to be the more generalist frugivores. This is consistent with other recent work [53,54] suggesting that tropical seed dispersal networks affected by defaunation are losing connectivity.

Our results underscore the need for further related research as this dataset—though it is the most comprehensive seed dispersal network data available to date for a tropical forest system—does not provide insights into differences in either seed dispersal effectiveness or interaction strength (i.e. it only provides information on presence or absence of interactions). Additionally, these data do not incorporate the fact that fruiting tree species can vary in their dependence on dispersers (e.g. intraspecific variation in susceptibility to conspecific density-dependent mortality and differences in benefits gained from frugivore gut passage could affect predictions from our model approach of secondary consequences for plants following disperser extinctions). Further, sampling across ecoregions within the Atlantic Forest biome is currently uneven, and as such, we were only able to assess a small subset of ecoregions separately. It is also possible that rare trees could appear as specialists in this dataset because their full seed dispersal network has not yet been comprehensively sampled. Similarly, the importance of endangered frugivores as dispersers of specialist trees may be inflated by this meta-analysis approach if animals that are of higher conservation concern have diets that have been more thoroughly documented compared with non-endangered species in the network. As a final caveat to consider in future studies, bats are underrepresented both in this dataset and in most seed dispersal network studies but may be another major taxonomic component of networks currently at risk due to human activities [64,65].

Our findings indicate that frugivores currently most at risk of being lost from the Atlantic Forest biome play outsized roles in maintaining network connectance and are the sole frugivore dispersers for a large portion of the specialist trees within this system. Particularly given the global conservation importance and vulnerability of the Brazilian Atlantic Forest (both a conservation and biodiversity hotspot), we urge more consideration in seed dispersal network studies be given to the role of endangered animals across taxa (especially incorporating species beyond birds) in maintaining ecosystem functioning. Given that current species extinction rates are over 100 times greater than background rates and 16–33% of all vertebrates species are estimated to be threatened or endangered—most of which are in tropical forests—it is an urgent priority to evaluate the ecological roles and importance filled by at-risk species both for our conceptual understanding of ecosystem functioning and for informing conservation efforts [3,66,67].
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